

GEORGE MASON LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 10

SUMMER 2002

NUMBER 4

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- MODERN FINANCIAL THEORY AND TRANSFER PRICING *Robert Ackerman & Elizabeth Chorvat* 637
- ARE BASE COMPANY RULES NEEDED
AS A BACKSTOP TO TRANSFER PRICING? *Robert E. Culbertson* 675
- THE FUTURE OF INTERNATIONAL TRANSFER PRICING: PRACTICAL AND
POLICY OPPORTUNITIES UNIQUE TO INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY FOREIGN TRANSFER PRICING AUDITS OF INTANGIBLES *Robert J. Cunningham* 697
- TRANSFER PRICING POLICY IN THE INTERNATIONAL TAX SYSTEM—PAST
AND PRESENT AND A QUICK LOOK IN THE FISCAL CRYSTAL BALL *J. Philip van Hilten* 709
- ADEQUACY OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION
MECHANISMS *Cym H. Lowell & Peter L. Briger* 725
- ATTRIBUTION OF PROFITS TO PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENTS:
A DEVELOPING COUNTRY'S PERSPECTIVE *Shyamal Mukherjee* 785
- INCOME TAX TREATY ARBITRATION *William W. Park* 803
- ATTRIBUTION OF PROFITS TO PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENTS
OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS *Peter Randall* 875
- CERTAIN ISSUES IN THE USE OF PROFIT-BASED METHODS *Deloris R. Wright* 895

PRESENTATION PAPERS

- AUSTRALIA'S APPROACH TO TRANSFER PRICING
DOCUMENTATION AND PENATLITES *David Grecian* 915
- THE FUTURE OF INTERNATIONAL TRANSFER PRICING: PRACTICAL
AND POLICY OPPORTUNITIES UNIQUE TO INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE AND ENTREPRENEURIAL RISK IN THE ALLOCATION
OF INTANGIBLE INCOME *James R. Mogle* 925

TRANSFER PRICING IN THE NEW MILLENNIUM: WILL THE ARM'S LENGTH PRINCIPLE SURVIVE?.....	<i>John Neighbour & Jeffrey Owen</i>	951
FACT DEVELOPMENT AND THE POLICIES OF TRANSFER PRICING DOCUMENTATION	<i>Chris D. Rolfe</i>	959
APPLICATION OF TRANSFER PRICING RULES TO BRANCHES AND PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENTS—ELECTRONIC COMMERCE AND INTANGIBLE PROPERTY ASPECTS	<i>Gary D. Sprague</i>	971
ADEQUACY OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION MECHANISMS: THE QUEST FOR PROCEDURAL COMPARABILITY IN THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY PROCESS.....	<i>Gary M. Thomas</i>	995

CASENOTES

LIMITING THE SCOPE OF REINITIATION: REFORMING SECTION 7 OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT	<i>Jeremy Brian Root</i>	1035
THE MENTALLY INCOMPETENT CRIMINAL DEFENDANT: <i>UNITED STATES V. WESTON</i> AND THE NEED FOR A GUARDIAN AD LITEM	<i>Sarah E. Wolf</i>	1071