Syllabus

"Legislation & Statutory Interpretation" (Law 266) Professor Robert Luther III Antonin Scalia Law School at George Mason University Fall 2025

Tuesdays @ 8:10-10:10 pm in Rm. TBD

Course Description and Learning Outcomes: With respect to Legislation, students enrolled in this course will learn the vocabulary, practice, and obstacles around law-making on Capitol Hill. With respect to Statutory Interpretation, students will study five large-scale methodologies: (1) constructive intent, (2) legal process purposivism, (3) ordinary/soft plain meaning textualism, (4) legal/hard plain meaning textualism (including the use of federalism, linguistic, and substantive canons within textualism), and (5) pragmatism by reviewing significant decisions of federal courts that have applied these interpretive techniques. Ultimately, students will deepen their understanding of the separation of powers by developing operational skills grounded in a practical knowledge of lawmaking and contemporary judicial decision-making.

<u>Course Materials</u>: Robert Luther III, *Legislation & Statutory Interpretation: Navigating the Separation of Powers* (2d ed. 2025).

Grading: This course will be letter graded (i.e., on an A+* to F scale). The Final Exam (December 10, 2025 @ 6 pm) will be a typed, blind-graded, in-class essay Exam. Public speaking is important to your development as a lawyer, so I treat class participation as an integral part of this course. Students who demonstrate exceptional class participation may have their Exam grade increased by 1/3 of a letter grade. Attendance rules are governed by Academic Regulation 4.

Reflection Essays: Oliver Wendell Holmes quipped that "[t]he life of the law has not been logic—it has been experience" and experience has taught me that writing about the law shortly after studying it yields long-term benefits. At the end of each class you are invited to write a reflection essay on the material not to exceed one side of a regular sheet of paper. Any reflection essays you deliver to me prior to the beginning of our next week of class will be returned to you at the beginning of the Final Exam and will be the only outside materials you may use to assist you during the Exam. Type your name and date of the classes/subjects at the top of each essay in bold. The goal here is to incentivize you to synthesize the material throughout the semester so that you retain the information for the long run.

Contact Info/Office Hours: If I am in my office without a pressing emergency you are always welcome to visit to discuss classwork, career goals, or the legal profession. Formal office hours are Tuesday from 6:00-8:00 pm and by appointment in person or on Zoom. My email is rluther@gmu.edu and my office is Hazel Hall #423.

<u>Disclaimer</u>: A course like this one (involving federal court decisions interpreting federal statutes enacted into law by political actors) is likely to result in strong and divergent opinions. I will not make any great effort either to reveal or to conceal my views about the cases we're going to study because I will play the Devil's advocate. I will, however, insist that you offer reasoned arguments for whatever opinions you express.

Date	Reading Assignment Due for Class this Day
Class 1: August 19, 2025	Introduction: Legislation and statutory interpretation within the separation of powers
	Speaker Nancy Pelosi's quote on the ACA (Mar. 3, 2010), p. 2
	Adam Liptak, An Exit Interview With Richard Posner, Judicial Provocateur, THE NEW YORK TIMES (Sept. 11, 2017), pp. 3-4
Class 2: August 26, 2025	Lecture on Legislation: The vocabulary, practice, and obstacles around law-making on Capitol Hill.
	Jesse M. Cross, <i>Legislative History in the Modern Congress</i> , 57 Harv. J. on Legis. 91 (2020) (excepts), pp. 5-35
	Sandra Strokoff, Senior Counsel, Office of the Legislative Counsel, U.S. House of Representatives, <i>How Our Laws Are Made: A Ghost Writer's View</i> (1996), pp. 36-38
Class 3: September 2, 2025	Theories of Interpretation
	Oliver Wendell Holmes, <i>The Theory of Legal Interpretation</i> , 12 Harv. L. Rev. 417 (1899) (pragmatism), pp. 39-43
	James M. Landis, <i>A Note on "Statutory Interpretation,"</i> 43 Harv. L. Rev. 886 (1930) (constructive intent), pp. 44-52
	Stephen G. Breyer, <i>Active Liberty</i> (2005) (purposivism) (excerpts), pp. 53-61
	Victoria Nourse, <i>Two Kinds of Plain Meaning</i> , 76 Brook. L. Rev. 997 (2011) (ordinary/soft plain meaning v. legal/hard plain meaning), pp. 62-71
	Neil M. Gorsuch, A Republic, If you Can Keep It (2019) (textualism) (excerpts), pp. 72-88
	Antonin Scalia, <i>A Matter of Interpretation:</i> Textualism (1997), pp. 89-92

September 9, 2025	Theories of Interpretation in Practice: An Overview
2023	Wis. Cent. Ltd. v. United States, 856 F.3d 490 (7th Cir. 2017) (pragmatism v. ordinary/soft plain meaning), pp. 93-104
	Wis. Cent. Ltd. v. United States, 138 S. Ct. 2067 (2018) (legal/hard plain meaning v. purposivism), pp. 105-127
Class 4: September 16, 2025	Constructive Intent / "Spirit of the law"
	Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States, 143 U.S. 457 (1892) (constructive intent/spirit of the law) (please also read the full underlying statute at issue in the case), pp. 128-145
	Public Citizen v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 491 U.S. 440 (1989) (excerpts), pp. 146-159
Class 5: September 23, 2025	The Shift to Textualism
	<u>Nix v. Hedden, 149 U.S. 304 (1893)</u> ("Is a tomato a fruit or a vegetable"?), pp. 160-163
	City of Rolling Meadows v. Kyle, 494 N.E.2d 766 (1986) (Is a newborn monkey a "domesticated pet"?), pp. 164-167
	Muscarello v. United States, 524 U.S. 125 (1998) (textualism and purposivism) (What does it mean to "carry"?), pp. 168-193
	Bennett v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 731 F.3d 584 (6th Cir. 2013) (Kethledge, J.) (What does it mean to "occupy"?), pp. 194-196
Class 6:	Is this Textualism?
September 30, 2025	Abbe R. Gluck, <i>The grant in King – Obamacare subsidies as</i> textualism's big test, SCOTUSblog (2014), pp. 197-202
	King v. Burwell, 576 U.S. 473 (2015) (excerpts), pp. 203-218
	Abbe R. Gluck, Congress has a "plan" and the Court can understand it — The Court rises to the challenge of statutory complexity in King v. Burwell (2015), pp. 219-223
	Yates v. United States, 135 S. Ct. 1074 (2015) (excerpts), pp. 224-240

Class 7:	Ducamatian
October 7, 2025	Pragmatism
October 7, 2023	Hively v. Ivy Tech Cmty. Coll. of. Indiana, 853 F. 3d 339, 356 (7th Cir. 2017) (Posner, J., concurring) ("judicial interpretive updating"), pp. 241-251
	Legal/Hard Plain Meaning Textualism [Literalism]
	Bostock v. Clayton County, 590 U.S. 644 (2020) (excerpts), pp. 252-269
	<u>N. Am. Co. for Life. & Health Ins. v. Caldwell</u> , 55 F.4th 867 (11th Cir. 2022) (Pryor, C. J.), pp. 270-279
Class 8: October 14, 2025	Linguistic Canons
	<u>McBoyle v. U.S., 283 U.S. 25 (1931) (Holmes, J.) (ejusdem generis)</u> , pp. 280-282
	Lockhart v. United States, 577 U.S. 347 (2016) ("rule of the last antecedent" and "series-qualifier canon") (excerpts), pp. 283-298
	Federalism Canon
	Bond v. United States, 572 U.S. 844 (2014) (excerpts), pp. 299-309
Class 9:	The Future of Textualism (Class 1): Corpus Linguistics
October 21, 2025	United States v. Costello, 666 F.3d 1040 (7th Cir. 2012) (Posner, majority; Manion, dissent) pp. 310-336
	State v. Rastabout, 2015 UT 72 356 P3d 1258 (Utah 2015) pp. 337-372
	John S. Ehrett, Against Corpus Linguistics, 108 Geo. L.J. Online (2019) pp. 373-396
Class 10: October 28, 2025	The Future of Textualism (Class 2): Artificial Intelligence and The Major Questions Doctrine
	Snell v. United Specialty Insur. Comp., 2024 WL 2717700 (11th Cir. May 28, 2024) (Newsom, J., concurring), pp. 397-429 (AI)
	Biden v. Nebraska, 600 U.S. 477 (2023), pp. 430-506

November 4, 2025	NO CLASS – ELECTION DAY
Class 11: November 11, 2025	HOLDING FOR MAKEUP CLASS [IF NECESSARY]
Class 12: November 18, 2025	FINAL EXAM REVIEW
December 10, 2025 @ 6:00 pm	FINAL EXAM